# BMJ Public Health

# Infection with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy and risk of stillbirth: a Scandinavian registry study

Maria Christine Magnus <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Anne Kristina Örtqvist <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>2,3</sup> Stine Kjaer Urhoj <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>4,5</sup> Anna Aabakke, <sup>6,7</sup> Laust Hvas Mortensen, <sup>4,5</sup> Håkon Gjessing, <sup>1,8</sup> Anne-Marie Nybo Andersen <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>4</sup> Olof Stephansson <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>2,9</sup> Siri Eldevik Håberg<sup>1</sup>

### ABSTRACT

**To cite:** Magnus MC, Örtqvist AK, Urhoj SK, *et al.* Infection with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy and risk of stillbirth: a Scandinavian registry study. *BMJ Public Health* 2023;**1**:e000314. doi:10.1136/ bmjph-2023-000314

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10. 1136/bmjph-2023-000314).

Received 19 June 2023 Accepted 8 September 2023 **Background** A few studies indicate that women infected with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy might have an increased risk of stillbirth. Our aim was to investigate the risk of stillbirth according to infection with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy also taking the variant into account. **Methods** We conducted a register-based study using the Swedish, Danish and Norwegian birth registries. A total of 389 949 births (1013 stillbirths) after 22 completed gestational weeks between 1 May 2020 and end of follow-up (27 January 2022 for Sweden and Norway; 31 December 2021 for Denmark). We estimated the risk of stillbirth following SARS-CoV-2 infection after 22 completed gestational weeks using Cox regression for each country, and combined the results using a randomeffects meta-analysis.

**Results** SARS-CoV-2 infection after 22 completed gestational weeks was associated with an increased risk of stillbirth (adjusted HR 2.40; 95% Cl 1.22 to 4.71). The risk was highest during the first weeks following infection, with an adjusted HR of 5.48 (95% Cl 3.11 to 9.63) during the first 2 weeks, 4.38 (95% Cl 2.41 to 7.98) during the first 4 weeks, and 3.71 (95% Cl 1.81 to 7.59) during the first 6 weeks. Furthermore, the risk was greatest among women infected during the Delta-dominated period (adjusted HR 8.23; 95% Cl 3.65 to 18.59), and more modest among women infected during the Index (adjusted HR 3.66; 95% Cl 1.89 to 7.06) and Alpha (adjusted HR 2.73; 95% Cl 1.13 to 6.59) dominated periods.

**Conclusions** We found an increased risk of stillbirth among women who were infected with SARS-CoV-2 after 22 gestational weeks, with the greatest risk during the Delta-dominated period.

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their

end of article.

**Correspondence to** 

employer(s)) 2023. Re-use

For numbered affiliations see

Dr Maria Christine Magnus;

Maria.Christine.Magnus@fhi.no

permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

# INTRODUCTION

The impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes remains to be fully understood. Pregnant women are at higher risk of severe COVID-19 disease, which increases the risk of pregnancy complications.<sup>1-3</sup> Women infected with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy have a greater risk of preterm birth, and higher risk of giving birth to infants with low Apgar score, poor

### WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

- $\Rightarrow$  Previous studies indicate that women infected with SARS-CoV-2 may have a higher risk of stillbirth.
- ⇒ These studies have primarily been limited to the investigation of stillbirth risk among women hospitalised with COVID-19.
- $\Rightarrow\,$  Evidence regarding differences in the risk of stillbirth according to variants remains sparse.

# WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- ⇒ Using information on 389 949 births in Sweden, Denmark and Norway, we found a clear increased risk of stillbirth among women who had tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 from 22 gestational weeks and onwards.
- ⇒ We further found evidence of some variation in the risk of stillbirth according to SARS-CoV-2 variants, with the greatest risk among women infected during the Delta-dominated period.

### HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

- ⇒ The findings from this study support the existing recommendation for vaccination of pregnant women.
- ⇒ We also highlight the importance of continued evaluation of differences in the risk of pregnancy complications with new any variants of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

intrauterine growth and infants admitted to neonatal intensive care.<sup>1 4 5</sup> Several studies have attempted to assess the risk of stillbirth according to infection with SARS-CoV-2; however, most studies only included between 2 and 30 exposed cases of stillbirth (only 2 studies had more than 10 exposed cases), yielding mixed results.<sup>6-11</sup>

The largest study of SARS-CoV-2 and stillbirth included 1 249 634 births between March 2020 and September 2021 registered in the US Premier Healthcare Database Special COVID-19 Release (PHD-SR), with a total of 21 653 births to women with COVID-19, and 273 exposed cases of stillbirth. They found an increased risk of stillbirth with infection (adjusted relative risk of 1.90; 95% CI 1.69 to 2.15).<sup>12</sup> Emerging evidence further suggests differences in the risk of pregnancy complications according to SARS-CoV-2 virus variants.<sup>13 14</sup> Evidence regarding differences in the risk of stillbirth according to variants remains sparse.<sup>12 15 16</sup>

In previous studies, we have showed that there has been no notable difference in the overall rate of stillbirth in the Nordic countries.<sup>17</sup> Furthermore, findings from Sweden and Norway indicated no increased risk of stillbirth with vaccination against SARS-CoV-2. In the current study, we build on these studies by investigating whether SARS-CoV-2 infection after 22 gestational weeks was associated with risk of stillbirth, with combined data on 389 949 births between 2020 and 2022 in Sweden, Denmark and Norway. We also evaluated the risk of stillbirth among women infected with SARS-CoV-2 at times dominated by different viral variants, as studies have indicated a greater risk of pregnancy complications following infection with the Delta variant.<sup>12 16</sup>

#### **METHODS**

#### Study population

We studied live and stillbirths after 22 completed gestational weeks in Sweden, Denmark and Norway between 1 March 2020 and end of follow-up (27 January 2022 for Sweden and Norway; 31 December 2021 for Denmark). Births were identified through the Swedish Pregnancy Register,<sup>18</sup> the Danish National Patient Register (registrations of International Classification of Disease version 10 codes Z38, O80-84 and P95),<sup>19</sup> and the Medical Birth Registry of Norway.<sup>20 21</sup> The small number of late induced abortions conducted after 22 completed gestational weeks were excluded (n=110 for Denmark; n=23 for Norway; information not available for Sweden). The Danish and Norwegian data included all births nationally, while the Swedish data included 94% of all births in Sweden (in 18 of 21 Swedish regions). We only included singletons and only the first registered birth to each woman during the study period. To avoid oversampling of preterm pregnancies towards end of the study period, we excluded pregnancies without the possibility to reach 42 completed weeks by the end of follow-up. We obtained information on maternal socioeconomic measures, infections with SARS-CoV-2, and vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 from national databases using unique national identification numbers.

#### Stillbirth

Stillbirth was defined as a fetal death after 22 completed gestational weeks. Gestational age was estimated based on ultrasound for the majority of births (more than 90% in all countries), and on date of last menstrual period when ultrasound estimates were missing. Information on the method of pregnancy dating is available from all registries. We used 22 completed gestational weeks and not 20 gestational weeks because only births occurring

after 22 completed gestational weeks were available in the Swedish Pregnancy Register.

#### SARS-CoV-2 infection

The exposure of interest was a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 after 22 completed gestational weeks up until the day before delivery. Our hypothesis is that infection may increase the risk of fetal death. Thus, infection before gestational week 22 might increase the risk of fetal death prior to 22 completed weeks (miscarriage), which could affect our results when only looking at fetal deaths after 22 gestational weeks (stillbirths). We did not exclude women with infection prior to 22 gestational weeks from the analysis, but adjusted for this as a covariate. In addition, we conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding pregnancies to women infected during pregnancy prior to 22 completed gestational weeks. The beginning of pregnancy was estimated based on the date of birth minus the gestational age in days. Information on laboratory-confirmed PCR positive tests for SARS-CoV-2 was obtained from mandatory reports to SmiNet at the Public Health Agency for Sweden,<sup>22</sup> and from the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases for Norway,<sup>23</sup> while information on both PCR and antigen positive tests was available from the Microbiology Database at the State Serum Institute for Denmark.<sup>24 25</sup> In Denmark, 10% of the positive tests were antigen tests, while 90% were PCR. The testing strategies in the three countries have varied over time. We stopped the follow-up of this study in January 2022, as all three Nordic countries changed their testing strategies around this time, and no longer recommended testing of all symptomatic individuals. More details about the testing strategies across the countries are available in online supplemental file.

#### **Covariates**

We obtained information on maternal age at the beginning of pregnancy (continuous), parity (0, 1, 2 or more), educational level (9 years or less, 10-12 years, more than 12 years), household income in tertiles based on the national distribution (first, second and third tertile), living with a partner (yes or no), region of birth (Scandinavia, Other European countries, Middle East/ Africa, other/unknown), smoking in pregnancy (yes or no), pre or early-pregnancy body mass index (continuous), pre-existing chronic condition (yes or no) prior to pregnancy and vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 (none, before pregnancy, during pregnancy). A general recommendation for vaccination of all pregnant women was issued in May 2021, in Sweden, August 2021, in Norway and July 2021, in Denmark. Pre-existing chronic conditions prior to pregnancy included hypertension, chronic kidney disease, asthma, cardiovascular disease, thrombosis and diabetes. We also obtained information on pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, placental abruption, uterine rupture and shoulder dystocia from the birth and patient registries. These pregnancy copyright

complications were not adjusted for in the multivariable model because they may represent potential mediating pathways.

#### Statistical analysis

We used Cox regression analysis to evaluate the HR of stillbirth according to SARS-CoV-2 infection. The time axis was gestational age in days, and follow-up started at 22 completed gestational weeks (gestational day 154). The end of follow-up in the Cox model was the gestational day of birth. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 was entered as a timevarying exposure, so women could contribute both unexposed and exposed follow-up time. First, we evaluated the risk according to infection any time after 22 completed gestational weeks. To evaluate whether the risk was different during the first weeks after infection, we estimated the risk of stillbirth in separate analyses using 2, 4 and 6 weeks exposure windows following infection with SARS-CoV-2, and women were considered as unexposed after the end of the specific risk window. In multivariable analyses, we adjusted for age, parity, educational level, household income, living with a partner, region of birth and a time-varying variable of vaccination against SARS-CoV-2. We also adjusted for infection with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy prior to 22 completed gestational weeks in the main model. We subsequently adjusted for smoking during pregnancy and early or prepregnancy body mass index in births with this information available (87% of all births). The data from each country were analysed separately, and the results were subsequently meta-analysed using a random-effects model, with heterogeneity estimated using the I<sup>2</sup> statistic. Country specific results are not shown due to national privacy regulations for presenting small numbers.

In secondary analyses, we evaluated differences in the risk of stillbirth according to a positive test during time periods dominated by different variants of SARS-CoV-2; (Index (prior to 1 February 2021), Alpha (between 1 February 2021 and 30 June 2021) or Delta (between 1 July 2021 and 31 December 2021)). The dates for cut offs for the variants were based on the major circulating variant at the time,<sup>26–28</sup> which was similar across the three countries. Finally, we conducted sensitivity analyses excluding women who were infected within 4 weeks prior to 22 completed gestational weeks. In addition, to compare women with the same possibility of being infected throughout pregnancy, we performed a sensitivity analysis restricted to pregnancies with an estimated start after 1 March 2020. These sensitivity analyses were only done for the 4-week risk window analysis. We further conducted a sensitivity analysis defining those who tested positive the last 3 days of pregnancy as unexposed. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding women infected during pregnancy prior to 22 completed gestational weeks.

Analyses were conducted using STATA V.17 (Statacorp) and R V.4.2.1.

copyright.



Figure 1 Illustration of study population.

#### Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures, nor were they involved in developing plans for recruitment, design or implementation of the study. No patients were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. There are no plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants or the relevant patient community.

#### RESULTS

Across the three countries, there were 389 949 births during the study period (figure 1), with 184 771 in Sweden, 106 991 in Denmark and 98 187 in Norway. Among these births, 1013 (3 per 1000) ended in a stillbirth (3 per 1000 in Sweden, 3 per 1000 in Denmark and 2 per 1000 in Norway). There were 8855 births (2.3%) to women with a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 in pregnancy after gestational week 22 (3.2% in Sweden, 1.7%

> Other European countries

Other/unknown

Any chronic disease,

Smoking in pregnancy, No. (%)

SARS-CoV-2 vaccination status, No. (%)

No. (%)†

No

Yes

(IQR)

None

weeks

Missing

Prepregnancy body mass index median

Before pregnancy

During pregnancy

Infected with SARS-

CoV-2 prior to 22 completed gestational 14 611 (8.2)

19 617 (11.0)

166 668 (93.3)

5938 (3.3)

6069 (3.4)

24 (22-28)

280 (0.2)

5868 (3.3)

158 888 (88.9)

19 507 (10.9)

8958 (5.0)

555 (9.1)

253 (4.2)

670 (11.0)

5715 (93.8)

172 (2.8)

209 (3.4)

25 (22-28)

5871 (96.3)

<5 (0.1)

222 (3.6)

0

7530 (7.2)

5028 (4.8)

13 045 (12.4)

93 962 (89.4)

7497 (7.1)

3702 (3.5)

24 (21-27)

96 510 (91.8)

210 (0.2)

8.441 (8.0)

1642 (1.6)

162 (8.9)

88 (4.8)

244 (13.3)

1635 (89.3)

101 (5.5)

94 (5.1)

24 (22-27)

1722 (94.1)

12 (0.7)

96 (5.3)

0

10 836 (11.1)

7515 (7.7)

8584 (8.8)

4950 (5.1)

9910 (10.2)

24 (21-27)

88 895 (91.4)

228 (0.2)

8135 (8.4)

605 (0.6)

82 398 (84.7)

| BMJ Public Health                       | า                                   |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Table 1       Maternal char             | acteristics accordi                 | ng to SARS-CoV-            | 2 infection after 2                 | 22 completed gest          | ational weeks                      |                              |
| Country                                 | Sweden                              |                            | Denmark                             |                            | Norway                             |                              |
| Background<br>characteristics           | Without<br>infection<br>(n=178 675) | With infection<br>(n=6096) | Without<br>infection<br>(n=105 161) | With infection<br>(n=1830) | Without<br>infection<br>(n=97 258) | With<br>infection<br>(n=929) |
| Age at start of<br>pregnancy, mean (SD) | 30.7 (4.8)                          | 30.6 (4.8)                 | 30.8 (4.7)                          | 30.6 (4.8)                 | 30.7 (4.7)                         | 30.7 (4.8)                   |
| Parity, No. (%)                         |                                     |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| 0                                       | 77 550 (43.4)                       | 2442 (40.1)                | 50 490 (48.0)                       | 866 (47.3)                 | 41 898 (43.1)                      | 333 (35.8)                   |
| 1                                       | 66 197 (37.1)                       | 2240 (36.8)                | 39 330 (37.4)                       | 653 (35.7)                 | 36 280 (37.3)                      | 344 (37.0                    |
| ≥2                                      | 34 928 (19.6)                       | 1414 (23.2)                | 15 341 (14.6)                       | 311 (17.0)                 | 19 080 (19.6)                      | 252 (27.1)                   |
| Missing                                 |                                     |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| Educational level, No. (9               | %)                                  |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| ≤9 years                                | 16 030 (9.0)                        | 595 (9.8)                  | 10 581 (10.1)                       | 221 (12.1)                 | 12 762 (13.1)                      | 196 (21.1                    |
| 10-12 years                             | 67 714 (37.9)                       | 2460 (40.4)                | 28 464 (27.1)                       | 535 (29.2)                 | 18 722 (19.3)                      | 172 (18.5                    |
| >12 years                               | 86 071 (48.2)                       | 2749 (45.1)                | 64 537 (61.4)                       | 1034 (56.5)                | 56 778 (58.4)                      | 392 (42.2)                   |
| Missing                                 | 8860 (5.0)                          | 292 (4.8)                  | 1579 (1.5)                          | 40 (2.2)                   | 8996 (9.3)                         | 169 (18.2)                   |
| Household income, No.                   | (%)                                 |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| First tertile                           | 58 544 (32.8)                       | 1924 (31.6)                | 33 830 (32.2)                       | 685 (37.4)                 | 30 806 (31.7)                      | 375 (40.4)                   |
| Second tertile                          | 58 397 (32.7)                       | 2071 (34.0)                | 34 347 (32.7)                       | 520 (28.4)                 | 30 905 (31.8)                      | 276 (29.7)                   |
| Third tertile                           | 58 469 (32.7)                       | 1998 (32.8)                | 34 320 (32.6)                       | 583 (31.9)                 | 30 979 (31.9)                      | 202 (21.7)                   |
| Missing                                 | 3265 (1.8)                          | 103 (1.7)                  | 2664 (2.5)                          | 42 (2.3)                   | 4568 (4.7)                         | 76 (8.2)                     |
| Living with partner, No.                | (%)                                 |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| Yes                                     | 161 458 (90.4)                      | 5590 (91.7)                | 88 787 (84.4)                       | 1500 (82.0)                | 91 763 (94.4)                      | 859 (92.5                    |
| No                                      | 12 978 (7.3)                        | 398 (6.5)                  | 15 905 (15.1)                       | 321 (17.5)                 | 3870 (4.0)                         | 54 (5.8)                     |
| Missing                                 | 4239 (2.4)                          | 108 (1.8)                  | 469 (0.5)                           | 9 (0.5)                    | 1625 (1.7)                         | 16 (1.7)                     |
| Region of origin, No. (%                | b)*                                 |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| Scandinavia                             | 127 173 (71.2)                      | 4056 (66.5)                | 87 743 (83.4)                       | 1366 (74.6)                | 72 282 (74.3)                      | 446 (48.0                    |
| Middle East/ Africa                     | 27 933 (15.6)                       | 1232 (20.2)                | 4860 (4.6)                          | 214 (11.7)                 | 6625 (6.8)                         | 217 (23.4                    |

160 (17.2)

106 (11.4)

55 (5.9)

763 (82.1)

115 (12.4)

24 (22-28)

829 (89.2)

11 (1.2)

89 (9.6)

0

51 (5.5)

| Table 1 Continued             |                                     |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Country                       | Sweden                              |                            | Denmark                             |                            | Norway                             |                              |
| Background<br>characteristics | Without<br>infection<br>(n=178 675) | With infection<br>(n=6096) | Without<br>infection<br>(n=105 161) | With infection<br>(n=1830) | Without<br>infection<br>(n=97 258) | With<br>infection<br>(n=929) |
| Gestational age               |                                     |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| 22-27 weeks                   | 445 (0.3)                           | 19 (0.3)                   | 275 (0.3)                           | <5 (0.2)                   | 248 (0.3)                          | <5 (0.2)                     |
| 28-31 weeks                   | 722 (0.4)                           | 32 (0.5)                   | 432 (0.4)                           | 6 (0.3)                    | 392 (0.4)                          | <5 (0.4)                     |
| 32–36 weeks                   | 6813 (3.8)                          | 278 (4.6)                  | 4117 (3.9)                          | 56 (3.1)                   | 3705 (3.8)                         | 26 (2.8)                     |
| 37-41 weeks                   | 166 954 (93.4)                      | 5652 (92.7)                | 98 000 (93.2)                       | 1713 (93.6)                | 89 215 (91.7)                      | 864 (93.0)                   |
| 42 weeks or more              | 3741 (2.1)                          | 115 (1.9)                  | 2337 (2.2)                          | 52 (2.8)                   | 3698 (3.8)                         | 33 (3.6)                     |
| Spontaneous preterm bir       | th                                  |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| No                            | 173 395 (97.0)                      | 5935 (97.4)                | 101 636 (96.7)                      | 1788 (97.7)                | 94 707 (97.4)                      | 915 (98.5)                   |
| Yes                           | 5280 (3.0)                          | 161 (2.6)                  | 3525 (3.4)                          | 42 (2.3)                   | 2551 (2.6)                         | 14 (1.5)                     |
| Pre-eclampsia                 |                                     |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| No                            | 172 444 (96.5)                      | 5884 (96.5)                | 101 502 (96.5)                      | 1763 (96.3)                | 94 697 (97.4)                      | 911 (98.1)                   |
| Yes                           | 6231 (3.5)                          | 212 (3.5)                  | 3635 (3.5)                          | 67 (3.7)                   | 2561 (2.6)                         | 18 (1.9)                     |
| Missing                       |                                     |                            | 24 (0.02)                           | 0 (0)                      |                                    |                              |
| Small-for-gestational age     | 9                                   |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| No                            | 160 864 (90.0)                      | 5487 (90.0)                | 94 579 (98.9)                       | 1624 (88.7)                | 89 149 (91.7)                      | 828 (89.1)                   |
| Yes                           | 15 088 (8.4)                        | 530 (8.7)                  | 10 013 (9.5)                        | 189 (10.3)                 | 8096 (8.3)                         | 101 (10.9)                   |
| Missing                       | 2723 (1.5)                          | 79 (1.3)                   | 569 (0.5)                           | 17 (0.9)                   | 13 (0.01)                          | 0 (0)                        |
| Gestational diabetes          |                                     |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |
| No                            | 169 488 (94.9)                      | 5708 (93.6)                | 99 642 (94.8)                       | 1716 (93.8)                | 91 417 (94.0)                      | 855 (92.0)                   |
| Yes                           | 9187 (5.1)                          | 388 (6.4)                  | 5495 (5.2)                          | 114 (6.2)                  | 5841 (6.0)                         | 74 (8.0)                     |
| Missing                       |                                     |                            | 24 (0.02)                           | 0 (0)                      |                                    |                              |
|                               |                                     |                            |                                     |                            |                                    |                              |

\*The other category included North America, South America, Latin America, Asia, Australia and New Zeeland.

†Chronic conditions included hypertension, chronic kidney disease, asthma, cardiovascular disease, thrombosis and diabetes. These are all conditions associated with both the risk of COVID-19 and adverse pregnancy complications.

in Denmark and 0.9% in Norway). Among women with positive tests after 22 gestational weeks, a total of 31 women had a subsequent stillbirth. Women who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy had a slightly higher parity, lower educational level, lower income, were more likely to be from the middle East/Africa, and had a slightly higher prepregnancy body mass index, compared with women who did not test positive during pregnancy (table 1). These characteristics were similar across the three countries. Women who had underlying chronic conditions were more likely to have tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 in Denmark, less likely to have tested positive in Norway, while there was no apparent difference in Sweden (table 1).

The median gestational age for the positive test for SARS-CoV-2 after 22 completed gestational weeks pregnancy was 214 days (IQR 184–245) in Sweden, 217 days (IQR 185–251) in Denmark and 228 days (IQR 193–257) in Norway. There were a total of 31 exposed cases of stillbirth across the three countries. All of the 31 exposed cases of stillbirth occurred among unvaccinated women. These exposed cases were infected between 31 March 2020 and 28 October 2021. Most exposed stillbirths (19 of 31) occurred within 3 weeks after a positive test. We observed an increased risk of stillbirth among women who were infected with SARS-CoV-2 after 22 completed gestational weeks, with an incidence rate of 6 per 100 000 exposed follow-up days and 2 per 100 000 unexposed follow-up days, with a corresponding adjusted HR of 2.40 (95% CI 1.22 to 4.71), and evidence of heterogeneity between countries ( $I^2$  68%; p=0.04; table 2). We also found that only four of the exposed cases of stillbirth were to women who had been admitted to the ICU during pregnancy for COVID-19. The risk of stillbirth was highest during the first weeks after infection with SARS-CoV-2, with an adjusted HR of 5.48 (95% CI 3.11 to 9.63) during the 2 weeks following infection, 4.38 (95% CI 2.41 to 7.98) during the 4 weeks following infection, and 3.71 (95% CI 1.81 to 7.59) during the 6 weeks following infection (table 2). Further adjustment for body mass index and smoking did not influence the results (online supplemental etable 1). A total of 6 out of 31 exposed stillbirths were the result of spontaneous preterm birth, while <5 were exposed to pre-eclampsia,

Table 2

| Ri                        | sk of stillbirth w  | vith maternal S  | SARS-Co                                      | oV-2 infection after 22   | 2 completed gestat  | tional weeks                                  |   |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|
|                           |   |  |  | Unadjusted  |   | Adjusted*                                     |   |
| •                         | SARS-CoV-2 infection  | Follow-up<br>time in days  | No of events                                 | HR (95% CI)   | I <sup>2</sup> heterogeneity statistic†                     | HR (95% CI)                                   | I <sup>2</sup> heterogeneity statistic† |
|                           | Unexposed   | 43 647 189   | 999  | Ref   |   | Ref   |   |
|                           | Exposed   | 119 109  | 14   | 6.24 (3.13 to 12.45)  | 38%, p=0.20   | 5.48 (3.11 to 9.63)                           | 10%, p=0.33                             |
|                           | Unexposed   | 43 530 188   | 993  | Ref   |   | Ref   |   |
|                           | Exposed   | 236 110  | 20   | 4.72 (2.36 to 9.45)   | 56%, p=0.10   | 4.38 (2.41 to 7.98)                           | 41%, p=0.19                             |
|                           | Unexposed   | 43 413 334   | 990  | Ref   |   | Ref   |   |
|                           | Exposed   | 352 964  | 23   | 3.86 (1.74 to 8.57)   | 72%, p=0.03   | 3.71 (1.81 to 7.59)                           | 64%, p=0.06                             |
|                           | Unexposed   | 43 228 574   | 982  | Ref   |   | Ref   |   |
| al                        | Exposed   | 537 724  | 31   | 2.84 (1.24 to 6.47)   | 79%, p=0.01   | 2.40 (1.22 to 4.71)                           | 68%, p=0.04                             |
| for<br>s ar               | r maternal age at<br>nd vaccination ag<br>ogeneity statistic        | start of pregna<br>gainst SARS-Co<br>and correspor                                   | ancy, pari<br>oV-2.<br>nding p v             | ty, education, income,<br>alue for differences in t                       | living with a partner,<br>he estimates across               | region of birth, underly the three countries. | ring chronic                            |
| ere<br>till<br>y o<br>the | small-for-gest<br>birth occurred<br>ccurred betwe<br>e exposed case | ational age. G<br>l more than G<br>een gestation<br>es of stillbirth<br>lacental abr | Dnly eig<br>5 weeks<br>al day 17<br>1 had av | ht exposed SAR<br>after infec- teste<br>74 and 282. circu<br>ny registra- | S-CoV-2 test. The<br>ed positive for SA<br>alating variant. | risk was highest amo<br>RS-CoV-2 when Deli    | ong women who<br>ta was the major       |

# Strengths and weaknesses of this study

Important strengths of this study include the populationcovering and prospective nature of the registry data, the inclusion of data from three countries, the evaluation of the risk according to dominant variants of SARS-CoV-2, and our ability to adjust for several potential confounding factors. Our study also has limitations. We did not have any information on causes and classifications of stillbirths in the birth registries, including clinical information from autopsies or placental histopathological analysis. Furthermore, no information on SARS-CoV-2 infection of the fetus was available. We were also unable to look at the risk of stillbirth according to the gestational week of infection with SARS-CoV-2 in more detail due to the small number of exposed cases. There were also differences in test strategies and infection rates between countries and during the study period. From Sweden and Norway, we only had information on PCR-positive tests, while from Denmark, information on rapid antigen tests were also available. During the study period, individuals in Denmark who tested positive on a rapid antigen test were recommended to take a confirmatory PCR-test, and 90% of the positive tests from Denmark in this study were PCR, ensuring comparability between the countries. Among women with positive tests, there are likely some asymptomatic cases, as pregnant women exposed to infected individuals were recommended to take a PCR test.<sup>29</sup> Also, women with early signs of fetal loss may have been more prone to testing which could inflate risk estimates. However, in the sensitivity analysis, where we defined pregnancies to women who tested positive the last 3 days of pregnancy as unexposed, results were similar. The risk of stillbirth was highest with infection during the Delta period in all

copyright.

#### SARS-CoV-2 Follow-up **Exposure** No heterogeneity window infection time in days eve tatistic† Unexposed 43 647 189 999 119 109 14 0%, p=0.33 Exposed 2 weeks Unexposed 993 43 530 188 Exposed 236 110 20 1%, p=0.19 4 weeks 990 Unexposed 43 413 334 Exposed 352 964 23 64%, p=0.06 6 weeks Any time Unexposed 43 228 574 982 after 22 gestational Exposed 537 724 31 8%, p=0.04 weeks

\*Adjusted for maternal age at start of pregnancy, ng chronic conditions and vaccination against SARS-CoV-2

†The I<sup>2</sup> heterogeneity statistic and corresponding

and 16 were small-for-gestational age. Only cases of stillbirth occurred more than 6 we tion. They occurred between gestational da None of the exposed cases of stillbirth ha tion of uterine rupture, placental abruption or shoulder dystocia. None of the exposed cases of stillbirth were to women who had a history of a previous stillbirth.

The risk of stillbirth was highest in women infected during the Delta-dominated period, with incidence rates of 16 per 100,000, while it was 5 per 100 000 during the Index variant period, and 4 per 100 000 during the Alpha variant period (table 3). In the 4 weeks following infection with SARS-CoV-2 during the Delta-dominated period, the adjusted HR was 8.23 (95% CI 3.65 to 18.59), while the adjusted HR was 3.66 (95% CI 1.89 to 7.06) for the Index variant, and 2.73 (95% CI 1.13 to 6.59) for the Alpha period (table 3). There was substantial less heterogeneity in the variant-specific estimates between countries than in the main analysis (table 3).

When we excluded women who were infected within 4 weeks prior to 22 completed gestational weeks, or restricted the analysis to pregnancies starting after 1 March 2020, we observed similar results for the 4-week risk window (online supplemental etables 2 and 3). We also observed a similar increased risk of stillbirth according to infection with SARS-CoV-2 when defining those who tested positive the last 3 days of pregnancy as unexposed (online supplemental etable 4). Excluding women infected with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy prior to 22 completed gestational weeks also did not change the results (online supplemental etable 5).

#### DISCUSSION

In this Scandinavian registry-based study, we observed an increased risk of stillbirth among women infected with SARS-CoV-2 after 22 completed gestational weeks, with the highest risk in the first weeks following a positive

| Table 3 Risk (                                     | of stillbirth after mate                               | ernal infection with                            | different variants                            | of SARS-CoV-2 after 22   | completed gestational we                     | eks                         |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
|  |  |   |   | Unadjusted   |  | Adjusted*                   |  |
| Exposure<br>window                                 | SARS-CoV-2<br>infection                                | Follow-up time<br>in days                       | No of<br>stillbirths                          | HR (95% CI)  | I <sup>2</sup> heterogeneity<br>statistic†   | HR (95% CI)                 | I <sup>2</sup> heterogeneity<br>statistic† |
|  | Unexposed  | 33 579 188                                      | 993   | Ref  |  | Ref                         |  |
|  | Index  | 116 807   | 0   | 3.66 (1.90 to 7.05)  | 0%, p=0.71                                   | 3.66 (1.89 to 7.06)         | 0%, p=0.74                                 |
| 4 weeks risk                                       | Alpha  | 92 066  | 5   | 2.75 (1.14 to 6.61)  | 0%, p=0.53                                   | 2.73 (1.13 to 6.59)         | 0%, p=0.65                                 |
| window   | Delta  | 27 133  | 6   | 9.95 (4.45 to 22.24)   | 0%, p=0.69                                   | 8.23 (3.65 to 18.59)        | 0%, p=0.80                                 |
|  | Unexposed  | 43 228 574                                      | 982   | Ref  |  | Ref                         |  |
|  | Index  | 269 778   | 12  | 1.60 (0.90 to 2.84)  | 0%, p=0.82                                   | 1.51 (0.85 to 2.68)         | 0%, p=0.91                                 |
| Any time after                                     | Alpha  | 211 203   | 10  | 2.56 (0.86 to 7.61)  | 64%, p=0.06                                  | 2.16 (0.85 to 5.47)         | 51%, p=0.13                                |
| 22 weeks   | Delta  | 56 639  | 6   | 6.15 (3.18 to 11.88)   | 0%, p=0.70                                   | 4.88 (2.49 to 9.56)         | 0%, p=0.88                                 |
| *Adjusted for ma:<br>†The I <sup>2</sup> heteroger | ternal age at start of pr<br>neity statistic and corre | egnancy, parity, educ:<br>ssponding p value for | ation, income, livin,<br>differences in the e | g with a partner, region of bii<br>sstimates across the three cc | rth, underlying chronic conditi<br>ountries. | ons and vaccination against | SARS-CoV-2.                                |

three countries, which support that increased testing in threatening stillbirths is less likely to cause the associations. We were unable to distinguish the risk of stillbirths prior to the onset of labour and stillbirths arising intrapartum according to infection with SARS-CoV-2 due to small numbers. We also did not have any information on treatment for SARS-CoV-2, and we could, therefore, not evaluate how it might have impacted the subsequent risk of stillbirth. Finally, we had limited ability to evaluate the proportional hazards assumption due to the small number of exposed cases of stillbirth.

#### Comparison with previous studies

Our findings support previous studies indicating that SARS-CoV-2 infection increases the risk of stillbirth. The largest study to date from the US PHD-SR, found a twofold increased risk of stillbirth among women with a diagnosis of COVID-19 around the time of delivery.<sup>12</sup> A study of 78 centres included in the Spanish Obstetric Emergency Group, including 1347 SARS-CoV-2 PCR-positive pregnant women registered between 26 February 2020 and 5 November 2020 on admission for delivery, and a concurrent sample of PCR-negative mothers, also indicated a greater proportion of stillbirth among women who were positive for SARS-CoV-2 compared with those who were negative (0.7% vs 0.2%, p=0.02).<sup>6</sup> Similarly, a populationbased study from England which included 342 080 delivering women, of which 3527 were registered in the birth record as being positive for SARS-CoV-2 at the time of delivery, the adjusted relative risk of stillbirth was 2.21 (95% CI 1.58 to 3.11).<sup>8</sup>

To study differences with viral variants, we used information on the major underlying circulating variant at the time when the woman tested positive. We observed the greatest increased risk of stillbirth among women who tested positive in the Delta dominated period. As our follow-up ended in January 2022, the number of stillbirths was too low to study the risk of stillbirth according to infection with the Omicron variant. Some other studies support that the Delta variant could have a greater impact on the risk of stillbirth compared with other variants. The US (PHD-SR) study found that the risk of stillbirth was greater in women infected with SARS-CoV-2 during the Delta period (adjusted RR 4.04; 95% CI 3.28 to 4.97), and lower in the pre-Delta period (adjusted RR 1.47; 95% CI 1.27 to 1.71).<sup>12</sup> A population-based study from Scotland with 9817 women who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy, also reported a lower rate of stillbirth in the Omicron-dominant period (4.3 per 1000 births) than in the Delta-dominant period (20.3 per 1000 births).<sup>16</sup>

We observed some differences in the associations across countries as evidenced by the heterogeneity statistics. There was substantially less heterogeneity when examining infection according to the major circulating variant at the time the women were infected with SARS-CoV-2. Statistical heterogeneity may be present due to small numbers in each of the countries, whereas clinical

#### **BMJ Public Health**

heterogeneity may be caused by national differences in the intensity of the pandemic itself or differences in testing strategies that led to differences in the proportion of positive cases detected across countries. We used random-effects meta-analysis to account for this heterogeneity in the country-combined estimates.

Some mechanistic evidence support that the SARS-CoV-2 virus can influence the risk of stillbirth. A histological analysis of the placenta from 15 women with severe COVID-19 who delivered in the third trimester indicated abnormal vessels and evidence of intervillous thrombi.<sup>30</sup> Another study from Sweden of 14 placentas also supported massive perivillous fibrinoid deposition, in addition to intervillositis and tromphoblast necrosis.<sup>31</sup> Notably, vascular malperfusion is commonly found in the placenta of stillbirths after COVID-19 infection.<sup>32</sup> There is also evidence suggesting that the Delta variant of the SARS-CoV-2 could increase the risk of stillbirth. Histological evidence of one intrauterine fetal demise in an unvaccinated woman with mild symptoms of SARS-CoV-2, suggested that the excessive infiltration of immune cells and cytokines in the placenta due to the Delta variant caused severe placental inflammation and damage, which likely resulted in placental abruption and the demise of the fetus.<sup>33</sup> However, the impact of the SARS-CoV-2 on the placenta still remains to be fully understood, as the existing studies are based on very small sample sizes.

#### CONCLUSION

In this Scandinavian registry-based study, infection with SARS-CoV-2 was associated with an increased risk of stillbirth, with the greatest risk among women exposed to the Delta variant, although the small number of exposed cases yielded uncertain estimates for the individual variants. We also did not have information available on the fetus' infection status. However, our findings highlight the need for further understanding of differences in risk of pregnancy complications according to SARS-CoV-2 variants. The tendency for an increased risk of stillbirth among women infected with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy highlights the importance of vaccination of pregnant women which was recommended across most countries.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Visby County Hospital, Visby, Sweden <sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark <sup>5</sup>Statistics Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>6</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Kobenhavn, Denmark

<sup>7</sup>Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark <sup>8</sup>Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

<sup>9</sup>Department of Women's Health, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Acknowledgements We thank Anne V. Hansen at Statistics Denmark for assistance with analyses during the initial phase of the project.

**Contributors** All authors contribution to the conception of the study. MCM, AKO and SKU contributed to the statistical analysis. MCM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of results and revision of the manuscript. MCM acts as a guarantor.

**Funding** Supported by the Research Council of Norway (project No. 324312) through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme (project No. 262700) and by NordForsk (project No. 105545 and 135876). MCM received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant No. 947684). LHM is supported in part by grants from the Novo Nordisk Foundation (grant no. NNF170C0027594, NNF170C0027812).

Competing interests None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and this study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics of South/East Norway (#141135), and the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (#2020-01499, #2020-02468, #2021-00274). Each committee provided a waiver of consent for participants. In Denmark, ethical approval is not required for registry studies, however, the study was registered with the Danish Data Protection Agency via Statistics Denmark. Consent from registered individuals is not required to conduct research using the national health registries in the Norway, Sweden or Denmark according to national legislation.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. No additional data are available.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Maria Christine Magnus http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0568-3774 Anne Kristina Örtqvist http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9019-9233 Stine Kjaer Urhoj http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2069-9723 Anne-Marie Nybo Andersen http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4296-8488 Olof Stephansson http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1528-4563

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Allotey J, Stallings E, Bonet M, *et al.* Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2020;370:m3320.
- 2 Ko JY, DeSisto CL, Simeone RM, et al. Adverse pregnancy outcomes, maternal complications, and severe illness among US delivery hospitalizations with and without a coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) diagnosis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;73:S24–31.
- 3 Zambrano LD, Ellington S, Strid P, et al. Update: characteristics of symptomatic women of reproductive age with laboratory-confirmed SARS-Cov-2 infection by pregnancy status - United States, January 22-October 3, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:1641–7.
- 4 Lassi ZS, Ana A, Das JK, et al. A systematic review and metaanalysis of data on pregnant women with confirmed COVID-19: clinical presentation, and pregnancy and perinatal outcomes based on COVID-19 severity. J Glob Health 2021;11:05018.
- 5 Pérez-López FR, Savirón-Cornudella R, Chedraui P, *et al.* Obstetric and perinatal outcomes of pregnancies with COVID 19: a

copyright

systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2022;35:9742–58.

- 6 Cruz Melguizo S, de la Cruz Conty ML, Carmona Payán P, et al. Pregnancy outcomes and SARS-CoV-2 infection: the Spanish obstetric emergency group study. *Viruses* 2021;13:853.
- 7 Epelboin S, Labrosse J, De Mouzon J, et al. Obstetrical outcomes and maternal morbidities associated with COVID-19 in pregnant women in France: a national retrospective cohort study. PLoS Med 2021;18:e1003857.
- 8 Gurol-Urganci I, Jardine JE, Carroll F, et al. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of birth in England: national cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2021;225:522.
- 9 Mullins E, Perry A, Banerjee J, *et al.* Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes of COVID-19: the PAN-COVID study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2022;276:161–7.
- 10 Piekos SN, Roper RT, Hwang YM, et al. The effect of maternal SARS-Cov-2 infection timing on birth outcomes: a retrospective multicentre cohort study. Lancet Digit Health 2022;4:e95–104.
- 11 Wilkinson M, Johnstone ED, Simcox LE, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on pregnancy outcomes in a diverse cohort in England. Sci Rep 2022;12:942.
- 12 DeSisto CL, Wallace B, Simeone RM, et al. Risk for stillbirth among women with and without COVID-19 at delivery hospitalization -United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;70:1640–5.
- 13 Onyinyechi Chionuma J, Onyeaka H, Ekwebelem OC, et al. SARS-Cov-2 variants and pregnant women: a cause for concern Vaccine X 2022;11:100185.
- 14 Mupanomunda M, Fakih MG, Miller C, et al. Comparison of severe maternal morbidities associated with delivery during periods of circulation of specific SARS-CoV-2 variants. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e2226436.
- 15 Fitzgerald B, O'Donoghue K, McEntagart N, et al. Fetal deaths in Ireland due to SARS-CoV-2 placentitis caused by SARS-Cov-2 alpha. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2022;146:529–37.
- 16 Stock SJ, Moore E, Calvert C, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes after SARS-CoV-2 infection in periods dominated by Delta and Omicron variants in Scotland: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2022;10:1129–36.
- 17 Magnus MC, Oakley LL, Hansen AV, et al. Fetal death after the introduction of COVID-19 mitigation measures in Sweden, Denmark and Norway: a registry-based study. Sci Rep 2022;12:20625.
- 18 Stephansson O, Petersson K, Björk C, et al. The Swedish pregnancy register - for quality of care improvement and research. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2018;97:466–76.
- 19 Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Sandegaard JL, et al. The Danish national patient registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. *Clin Epidemiol* 2015;7:449–90.
- 20 Irgens LM. Medical birth registry--an essential resource in perinatal medical research. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2002;122:2546–9.
- 21 Norwegian Institute of public health emergency preparedness register for COVID-19 (Beredt C19). 2021. Available: https://www.fhi.

no/en/id/infectious-diseases/coronavirus/emergency-preparedness-register-for-covid-19/ [Accessed 15 Mar 2022].

- 22 Ludvigsson JF. The first eight months of Sweden's COVID-19 strategy and the key actions and actors that were involved. *Acta Paediatr* 2020;109:2459–71.
- 23 Norwegian Institute of public health Norwegian surveillance system for communicable diseases (MSIS). 2022. Available: https://www.fhi. no/en/hn/health-registries/msis/ [Accessed 20 Jan 2023].
- 24 Institut SS. About testcenter Denmark. 2022. Available: https://tcdk. ssi.dk/english [Accessed 25 Nov 2022].
- 25 Voldstedlund M, Haarh M, Mølbak K, et al. The Danish microbiology database (Miba) 2010 to 2013. Euro Surveill 2014;19:20667.
- 26 Michlmayr D, Hansen CH, Gubbels SM, *et al.* Observed protection against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection following a primary infection: a Danish cohort study among unvaccinated using two years of nationwide PCR-test data. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* 2022;20:100452.
- 27 Public Health Agency of Sweden. Statistics on SARS-CoV-2 variants of special interest 2022. 2022. Available: https://www. folkhalsomyndigheten.se/smittskydd-beredskap/utbrott/aktuellautbrott/covid-19/statistik-och-analyser/sars-cov-2-virusvarianter-avsarskild-betydelse/ [Accessed 24 Nov 2022].
- 28 Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Statistics on Coronavirus and COVID-19 2020. 2022. Available: https://www.fhi.no/sv/smittsommesykdommer/corona/dags--og-ukerapporter/dags--og-ukerapporterom-koronavirus/ [Accessed 15 Jan 2022].
- 29 Norwegian Directorate of Health. Information about changes in routines for confirmatory PCR-tests and self-registering of positive home tests for COVID-19, . 2022Available: https:// www.helsedirektoratet.no/tema/beredskap-og-krisehandtering/ koronavirus/anbefalinger-og-beslutninger/Endring%201%20rutiner% 20for%20bekreftende%20PCR-test%20og%20selvregistrering% 20av%20positiv%20selvtest.pdf/\_/attachment/inline/e74e39b3-5993-4e8c-8c76-6df834f84aab:5adca5af69754716f27b32ac102b 76f3bcdf2749/Endring%20i%20rutiner%20for%20bekreftende% 20PCR-test%20og%20selvregistrering%20av%20positiv% 20selvtest.pdf [Accessed 15 May 2022].
- 30 Shanes ED, Mithal LB, Otero S, et al. Placental pathology in COVID-19. Am J Clin Pathol 2020;154:23–32.
- 31 Zaigham M, Gisselsson D, Sand A, *et al.* Clinical-pathological features in placentas of pregnancies with SARS-CoV-2 infection and adverse outcome: case series with and without congenital transmission. *BJOG* 2022;129:1361–74.
- 32 Bunnell ME, Koenigs KJ, Roberts DJ, et al. Third trimester stillbirth during the first wave of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: similar rates with increase in placental vasculopathic pathology. *Placenta* 2021;109:72–4.
- 33 Guan M, Johannesen E, Tang CY, *et al.* Intrauterine fetal demise in the third trimester of pregnancy associated with mild infection with the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant without protection from vaccination. *J Infect Dis* 2022;225:748–53.